

Sustainable Materials Management to Protect Tomorrow

# COVANTA

*The Problems Created by Gypsum  
Wallboard Waste for Waste-to-Energy  
(WTE) Facilities*

*January 9<sup>th</sup>, 2023*



# Covanta: World Leader In Waste-to-Energy



**Over 50** sustainable material processing facilities, including WTE facilities in North America



Annually recycle approximately **600,000 tons of metal** the equivalent amount of steel can build six Golden Gate Bridges



Annual capacity to process **21 million tons** of waste



**3,800 professionals** employed in North America



Generate 10 million megawatt hours—enough clean energy to power **over 1 million homes**




High focus on improving **sustainability** and encouraging a **circular economy**

# Waste-to-Energy and Sustainable Waste Management

# Sustainable Materials Management

The EPA and the EU have ranked the most environmentally sound strategies for municipal solid waste. Source reduction (including reuse) is the most preferred method, followed by recycling, **energy recovery**, and lastly, treatment followed by landfill disposal.





# Two Choices for Waste after Recycling

---

## Landfill


- Landfills are a major source of man-made methane
- Methane is more than 30X more potent than Carbon Dioxide
- Leachate generation: ground water contamination
- Non sustainable use of land
- Energy generation from landfills: **65 kWh per ton of waste**

## Waste-to-Energy

- 90% reduction of waste in volume
- Clean base load power generation
- Recovers metals for recycling
- Offsets on average one ton of carbon dioxide equivalent for each ton of waste processed
- Renewable energy generation from WTE: **550 kWh per ton of waste**

# How Waste is Managed Around the World

The US has room to improve



● Recycling/Composting    ● Landfilling    ● Energy-from-Waste



# Covanta WTE in Massachusetts

Haverhill WTE Facility – 1,600 TPD



SEMASS RDF – 3,000 TPD




# Transfer Stations support WTE Facilities

## Covanta Facilities in MA:

- ★ Waste-to-Energy (WTE) Facilities: 2
- Transfer Stations: 5

- \* 1,800,000 processed tons annually
- \* 900,000 tons redirected through transfer stations

**Only Holliston transfer station is permitted to accept C&D. All other facilities do not accept C&D of any type. Wallboard has been band for many years at all EFW.**





# Gypsum Wallboard – Problems for WTE



1. Sulfur Content, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions
2. Increased chemical reagent (lime)
3. Particulate Matter (PM)
4. Increased Ash

# Sulfur Content, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions

- Gypsum wallboard is mostly calcium sulfate dihydrate mineral combined with thin paper coating
- Sulfur in the wallboard directly increases sulfur content in normal MSW or trash
- Sulfur in the MSW converts to sulfur dioxide flue gas emissions when combusted in WTE boiler (increased SO<sub>2</sub> emissions)
- SO<sub>2</sub> is regulated U.S. EPA “criteria pollutant”


**Simplified MSW Combustion in Waste-to-Energy (WTE) boiler when mixed with Gypsum Wallboard**


1 CaSO <sub>4</sub> • ½ H <sub>2</sub> O	+ 1.5 O <sub>2</sub>	+ 2 C	+ Heat →	1 SO <sub>2</sub>	+ 1 CaCO <sub>3</sub>	+ 1 CO <sub>2</sub>	+ 0.5 H <sub>2</sub> O
<i>1 part gypsum wallboard (“calcium sulfate hemi-hydrate”)</i>	<i>1.5 parts oxygen (from “combustion air”)</i>	<i>2 parts carbon (from normal trash or “MSW”)</i>	<i>Boiler heat &amp; WTE combustion reaction</i>	<i>1 part sulfur dioxide (“air pollutant”)</i>	<i>1 part calcium carbonate (“ash” for disposal)</i>	<i>1 part carbon dioxide vapor</i>	<i>0.5 parts water vapor</i>



# Covanta SEMASS CY 2022: Sulfur Content of Waste

Gypsum wallboard in MSW causes sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) spikes in Combustion Flue Gas (values > 200 ppm)






## Increased chemical reagent (lime)

- Increased chemical reagent (lime or CaO) required in the Spray Dryer Absorbers (SDA's) to react and “scrub” sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) acid gases
- Increased lime needed to meet SO<sub>2</sub> air pollution control limits.
- Increased lime adds operational costs plus generates more fly ash requiring landfill disposal



# Particulate Matter (PM)

- Gypsum wallboard combustion adds to fine Particulate Matter (PM) loading to the baghouse filter air pollution control systems
- Requires increased operational costs for pulsing and cleaning baghouse filter compartments.



## Increased Ash

- Gypsum wallboard directly adds to increased ash generation as most of it does not combust
- Gypsum wallboard also increases the use of chemical reagent (lime or CaO) needed to meet SO<sub>2</sub> air pollutant limits. Reacted lime adds to the ash tonnage
- Ash requires off-site landfill disposal (transport + tip fee costs)





## Sustainable Path Forward:

- Promote C&D recycling/diversion and Facility Siting
  - Better C&D facility coverage in immediate Boston metro area; Cape & Islands (Martha's Vineyard)
- Work to Restore Market Balance in C&D world
  - C&D processing / recycling should be cheaper than MSW processing / disposal
- C&D Generator / Hauler / Processing Facilities - Outreach, Inspections, and Enforcement (if req'd)
  - Reduce the C&D / MSW "blended" loads; too many going to WTE

# Thank You

---

**Mark Van Weelden**

Area Asset Manager - MA/CT

Market Area Manager - CT

[MVanWeelden@covanta.com](mailto:MVanWeelden@covanta.com)

978-701-4582